

Published on Web 02/06/2009

## Enantioselective Intramolecular Openings of Oxetanes Catalyzed by (salen)Co(III) Complexes: Access to Enantioenriched Tetrahydrofurans

Rebecca N. Loy and Eric N. Jacobsen\*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Received November 25, 2008; E-mail: jacobsen@chemistry.harvard.edu

Oxetanes are receiving increased attention as intermediates in organic synthesis and drug discovery, thanks in part to the development of new methods for their preparation.<sup>1,2</sup> At this stage, few enantioselective reactions of oxetanes have been realized; these include ring expansions catalyzed by chiral copper complexes<sup>3</sup> and ring openings with organolithium reagents promoted by a chiral boron reagent.<sup>4</sup> We became intrigued by the possibility of activating oxetanes with (salen)Co(III) complexes for enantioselective ring opening (e.g., eq 1), given the successful application of these catalysts in the asymmetric ring-opening of epoxides.<sup>5,6</sup> Herein, we describe intramolecular openings of oxetanes catalyzed by (salen)Co(III) complexes **1** and **2** to afford functionalized tetrahydrofurans in high yields and enantioselectivities.



Lewis acid catalysis represents a viable approach to enantioselective ring opening of oxetanes, given that oxetanes possess lower ring strain<sup>7</sup> but superior Lewis basicity<sup>8</sup> relative to epoxides. Mechanistic studies of (salen)Co(III)-catalyzed reactions have established that epoxide ring openings occur through cooperative bimetallic mechanisms involving simultaneous activation of nucleophile and Lewis acid activation of epoxide.<sup>9</sup> By enforcing cooperative interactions between (salen)Co units, oligomeric catalysts such as **2** have been shown to provide greatly enhanced reactivity compared with monomeric catalysts.<sup>10</sup>

We chose to examine achiral 3-substituted oxetanes as potential reacting partners, as these substrates are readily accessed from malonate esters or 3-oxetanone<sup>11</sup> and are susceptible, in principle, to enantioselective ring opening with nucleophiles other than water. Intermolecular additions to 3-butyloxetane were studied using nucleophiles proven effective in (salen)Co(III)-catalyzed epoxide ring-opening reactions, such as methanol,<sup>10c,e, 4</sup>-methoxyphenol,<sup>10c,e,12</sup> and *tert*-butyl carbamate.<sup>13,10e</sup> However, no desired ring-opened product was obtained in any case using either 10 mol% monomeric (salen)Co(III) complex **1** or 2 mol% of the oligomeric complex **2**.

Encouraged by the excellent reactivity and enantioselectivity obtained in intramolecular epoxide openings with alcohols using monomeric (salen)Co(III),<sup>14</sup> we examined intramolecular opening

of oxetanes as a potential route to pharmacologically active and synthetically useful 3-substituted heterocycles (Table 1).<sup>15–17</sup> A variety of oxetane-containing tethered nucleophiles were prepared and treated with catalytic levels of (salen)Co(III) complexes 1 and 2. Cyclization to provide tetrahydrofuran 4a proceeded in excellent enantioselectivity and yield using either the monomeric or oligomeric catalyst. Cyclization of 5 to tetrahydropyran 6 proceeded substantially more slowly, yet with high enantioselectivity and yield using oligomeric catalyst 2, whereas cyclizations to provide sevenmembered ring oxepanes were unsuccessful. Oxetane 7 bearing a carbamate nucleophilic component underwent ring opening with diminished yield and enantioselectivity.

Table 1. Representative Intramolecular Oxetane Ring Openings

$$HNU \xrightarrow{\bigcap_{n}} \frac{1 \text{ or } 2}{23 \text{ °C}} \xrightarrow{NU}_{n, \text{ or } OH}$$

entry	substrate	product	catalyst	time	yield <sup>a</sup>	ee <sup>b</sup>
			(mol%)	(h)	(%)	(%)
1	٦	$\langle \rangle$	<b>1</b> (1)	1	92 <sup>d</sup>	98°
2	но	OH	<b>2</b> (0.01)	2	93°	96°
	3a	4a				
3			<b>1</b> (10)	96	38 <sup>d</sup>	7
4	HO_/ ~	<u>∕</u> .,,,∕0H	<b>2</b> (0.1)	96	89 <sup>e</sup>	96
	5	6				
	ΓŶ	Boc				
7	BocHN	$\bigcirc$	1 (10)	72	72 <sup>f</sup>	50 <sup>c</sup>
8	Bocint	<sup>11</sup> OH	<b>2</b> (10)	72	70 <sup>e</sup>	$10^{\circ}$
	7	8				

<sup>*a*</sup> Isolated yield after flash chromatography on SiO<sub>2</sub>. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the benzoylated product unless noted otherwise. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by chiral GC analysis of the trifluoroacetylated product. <sup>*d*</sup> Reaction carried out in the absence of solvent. <sup>*e*</sup> Reaction carried out in MeCN (6 M). <sup>*f*</sup> Reaction carried out in TBME (6 M).

The scope of the intramolecular opening of oxetanes with O-centered nucleophiles was examined with a variety of achiral oxetane substrates bearing nucleophilic appendages (Table 2). A series of substituted ethanol derivatives underwent ring opening with high enantioselectivity and yield (entries 3–10). Alkyl (**3b–c**, **3i**) and phenyl (**3d**) substitution at the 3-position of the oxetane was tolerated, affording products bearing quaternary stereocenters.<sup>18</sup> Incorporation of a fluorine substituent in the substrate provided tetrahydrofuran **4e**, which contains an interesting fluorine-bearing stereocenter. Ring opening of phenolic substrates (**3f–h**) provided enantioenriched dihyrobenzofurans; however, higher catalyst loadings were required to attain high levels of enantioselectivity.

Both monomeric and oligomeric complexes proved to be efficient catalysts for the enantioselective ring opening of oxetanes, providing Table 2. Enantioselective Tetrahydrofuran and Benzodihydrofuran Synthesis



entry	substrate	product	catalyst	time	yield <sup>a</sup>	ee <sup>b</sup>
			(mol%)	(h)	(%)	(%)
1	_ ۲	С <u>о</u> н	<b>1</b> (1.0) <b>2</b> (0.01)	1	92°	98 <sup>h</sup> 06 <sup>h</sup>
2	HO 3a	4a	2(0.01)	2	93	90
3	н₃с√Г	$\bigcirc$	<b>1</b> (1.0)	6	87°	99
4	HO 3b	CH3	<b>2</b> (0.01)	6	88 <sup>d</sup>	96
	110 12	40 OH .0.				
5	Ph	$\langle  \rangle$	<b>1</b> (1.0)	24	96 <sup>e</sup>	98
6	HO 3c	i Ph	<b>2</b> (0.01)	24	98 <sup>d</sup>	99
		+€ OH ∠0、				
7	i-Pr		<b>1</b> (1.0)	2	93°	99
8	HO 3d	4d	<b>2</b> (0.01)	12	97 <sup>d</sup>	99
		.0.				
9	F, L	$\Box_{-}$	1 (1.0)	7	87°	97 <sup>h</sup>
10	но Зе	+	<b>2</b> (0.01)	7	76 <sup>d</sup>	98 <sup>h</sup>
		40 OH				
11	أسلح "	M Y	1 (5)	8	94°	93
12		H	<b>2</b> (0.01)	6	89 <sup>d</sup>	98
12	3f	4f OH				
13	н₃с	m <sup>o</sup>	1 (10)	8	77 <sup>e</sup>	96
14	СТОН	CH3	<b>2</b> (1)	8	95 <sup>d</sup>	98
	3g	4g OH				
15	HOJĬ	m s	1(10)	96	79 <sup>f</sup>	84
16		С ОН	<b>2</b> (1)	6	94 <sup>g</sup>	88
10	3h	<b>4h</b> OH				
17	но		<b>1</b> (1)	5	88°	97
18	<u> </u>	CH AL	<b>2</b> (0.01)	5	98 <sup>d</sup>	99
10	но́ <sup>3і</sup>	`он‴				

<sup>*a*</sup> Isolated yield, after flash chromatography on SiO<sub>2</sub>. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the benzoylated product unless noted otherwise. <sup>*c*</sup> Reaction carried out in the absence of solvent. <sup>*d*</sup> Reaction carried out in MeCN (6 M). <sup>*e*</sup> Reaction carried out in TBME (6 M). <sup>*f*</sup> TBME (1 M). <sup>*g*</sup> MeCN (1 M). <sup>*h*</sup> Determined by chiral GC analysis of the trifluoroacetylated product.

access to a wide variety of tetrahydrofurans in high enantioselectivity and yield. Monomeric catalyst **1** is easily accessed from the commercially available (salen)Co(II) complex by treatment with TfOH.<sup>19</sup> Reactions using this catalyst can be carried out either solvent-free or with small amounts of TBME and with catalyst loadings as low as 1 mol%. Oligomeric catalyst **2** displays enhanced efficiency and can be used in loadings as low as 0.01 mol%, often with improved enantioselectivity. We are now pursuing synthetic applications and mechanistic studies of the oxetane ring-opening reaction.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the NIH (GM 43214).

**Supporting Information Available:** Representative experimental procedures, characterization data, chiral chromatographic analyses of racemic and enantiomerically enriched products, and complete refs 15a,b. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org

## References

- Hickford, P. J.; Baker, J. R.; Bruce, I.; Booker-Milburn, K. I. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4681–4684, and references therein.
- (2) Methods of oxetane construction: (a) Wuitschik, G.; Rogers-Evans, M.; Müller, K.; Fischer, H.; Wagner, B.; Schuler, F.; Polonchuk, L.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7736–7739. (b) Wuitschik, G.; Rogers-Evans, M.; Buckl, A.; Bernasconi, M.; Märki, M.; Godel, T.; Fischer, H.; Wagner, B.; Parrilla, I.; Schuler, F.; Schneider, J.; Alker, A.; Schweizer, W. B.; Müller, K.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4450–4515. Stereoselective formation of oxetanes: (c) Horspool, W.; Lens, F. CRC Handbook of Photochemistry and Photobiology; CRC Press LLC: Boca Raton, FL, 2004; pp 59-1–59-19. (d) Sone, T.; Ly, G.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. [Online early access]. DOI: 10.1002/anie.200805473. Published Online: January 22, 2009.
- (3) (a) Nozaki, H.; Moriuti, S.; Takaya, H.; Noyori, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1966, 5239–5244. (b) Nozaki, H.; Takaya, H.; Moriuti, S.; Noyori, R. *Tetrahedron* 1968, 24, 3655–3669. (c) Ito, K.; Katsuki, T. *Chem. Lett.* 1994, 1857–1860. (d) Lo, M. M.; Fu, G. C. *Tetrahedron* 2001, 57, 2621–2634.
- (4) (a) Mizuno, M.; Kanai, M.; Iida, A.; Tomioka, K. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1996, 7, 2483–2484. (b) Mizuno, M.; Kanai, M.; Iida, A.; Tomioka, K. *Tetrahedron* 1997, 53, 10699–10708.
- (5) For reviews, see: (a) Larrow, J. F.; Jacobsen, E. N. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 6, 123–152. (b) Jacobsen, E. N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 421– 431.
- (6) Metal salen complexes of chromium and aluminum have shown to catalyze the polymerization of trimethylene oxide and CO<sub>2</sub>. (a) Darensbourg, D. J.; Ganguly, P.; Choi, W. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 3831–3833. (b) Darensbourg, D. J.; Moncada, A. I.; Choi, W.; Reibenspies, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, *130*, 6523–6533.
- (7) Dudev, T.; Lim, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 4450–4458.
  (8) Berthelot, M.; Besseau, F.; Laurence, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 925–
- (8) Berthelot, M.; Besseau, F.; Laurence, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 925-931.
- (9) Nielsen, L. P. C.; Stevenson, C. P.; Blackmond, D. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1360–1362.
  (10) (a) Konsler, R. G.; Karl, J.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120,
- (10) (a) Konsler, R. G.; Karl, J.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 10780–10781. (b) Ready, J. M.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2687–2688. (c) Ready, J. M.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1374–1377. (d) White, D. E.; Jacobsen, E. N. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 3633–3538. (e) White, D. E. Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2005.
- (11) For details of substrate synthesis, see Supporting Information.
- (12) Ready, J. M.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6086–6087.
   (13) Bartoli, G.; Bosco, M.; Carlone, A.; Locatelli, M.; Melchiorre, P.; Sambri,
- L. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3973–3975.
- (14) Wu, M. H.; Hansen, K. B.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2012–2014.
- (15) Some examples of pharmacologically active 3-substituted hetereocycles: (a) Lyles-Eggleston, M.; et al. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 497–508. (b) Kuo, E. A.; et al. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 4608–4621. (c) Lavrador, K.; Guillerm, D.; Guillerm, G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 1629–1634. (d) Walsh, D. A.; Franzyshen, S. K.; Yanni, J. M. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 105–118.
- (16) For an example of disatereoselective intramolecular opening of oxetanes, see: Bach, T.; Kather, K.; Krämer, O. J. Org. Lett. 1998, 63, 1910–1918.
- (17) For alternative approaches to the synthesis of enantioenriched 3-substituted heterocycles see: (a) Brown, H. C.; Gupta, A. K.; Rangaishenvi, M. V.; Vara Prasad, J. V. N. *Heterocycles* **1989**, *28*, 283–294. (b) Horiuchi, T.; Ohta, T.; Shirakawa, E.; Nozaki, K.; Takaya, H. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, *62*, 4285–4292.
- (18) Ring-opening reactions affording tetrahydropyrans bearing quaternary centers proceeded in low yield and enantioselectivity.

H<sub>3</sub>C 
$$\xrightarrow{1 \text{ mol}\% 2}$$
  $\xrightarrow{0}$  CH<sub>3</sub>  
HO  $\xrightarrow{1 \text{ d}, \text{MeCN}}$   $\xrightarrow{0}$  CH<sub>3</sub>  
33% yield  
44% ee

(19) For details of catalyst synthesis, see Supporting Information. For a similar procedure to make the (salen)CoOTs analogue of 1, see: Stevenson, C. P.; Nielsen, L. P. C.; Jacobsen, E. N. Org. Synth. 2006, 83, 162–169.

JA809176M